FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.:
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
Review Petition No.121 of 2005
In
Writ Petition No.4851 of 2002

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.S.S.Vaidya for petitioner.

CORAM : H.L GOKHALE &
SMT.R.S.DALVI, JJ.
DATED : 5th October 2005

P.C. :

1. Heard Mr.Vaidya in support of this Review Petition. He makes two submissions. His first submission is that as per the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, the petitioner ought to have been paid 10% interest on the arrears of gratuity. He draws our attention to a Government Resolution dated 24th April 1995. Firstly, this point was not raised when the matter was heard. That apart, MHADA is having its own regulations which have been relied upon in our judgment, of which

review is sought. We do not think that this is a ground on which we can review our order in any way.

2. The second submission of Mr.Vaidya is that the quantum of gratuity paid is not correct and there is an error in calculating the same. The petitioner may represent to MHADA for that purpose and if there is any error in that behalf, MHADA will surely correct it and pay the difference to the petitioner.

3. Review Petition is disposed of.

(H.L.GOKHALE, J.)

(SMT.R.S.DALVI, J.)